

## **Annex 10B – consultation log**

Cross reference between the consultation comment or challenge and the location of the resultant textual amendment. The source of the comment is taken from either of the consultation response documents issued to the WG and the paragraph numbers are as set out in the above report.

	<b>Consultation comment/challenge</b>	<b>Location of resultant amendment</b>
1	Considerable cost and time requirements for re-training (a large number) of PM staff	609
2	Use this competency framework and adopt across all PM professional bodies	578
3	Progress to be made (quickly?) with integration between professional bodies on individual competency systems	606 – modest addition
4	The accreditation system proposed is 'out of step' with current approaches – given the numbers of PMs that will be 'caught' within the firm	604
5	Picking up on the WG10 recommendation that all should be a current full member of a professional body and that this is too 'over- proscriptive' and that membership of a 'credible industry certification scheme' should be OK	593
6	Both wide-ranging negative comments and questions posed as to the meaning of our recommendations – the WG have written to seek clarification and will 'pend' making changes to the text until further details are received from them (none to date).	<i>Pending</i>
7	Need for consistency across the descriptions of the levels of competency between the various WGs	592 & 608
8	Impact of introduction of new system could have a supply chain impact if there is a competency 'gap'	607